

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION

CHARITY COLLEEN CROUSE,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	CIVIL CASE NO. 3:19-cv-2280-K
	§	
	§	
NEUROPSYCHIATRIC CENTER,et al,	§	
Defendants.	§	

ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

The United States Magistrate Judge made Findings, Conclusions, and a Recommendation in this case. Plaintiff filed an Application to Proceed *Pro Hac Vice*, an Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis*, and a supplement to her complaint, on October 15, 2019, which the Court construes as objections, and the Court has made a *de novo* review of those portions of the proposed findings and recommendation to which objection was made. The objections are **OVERRULED**, and the Court **ACCEPTS** the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is summarily **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 12(h)(3).

The Court prospectively **CERTIFIES** that any appeal of this action would not be taken in good faith. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3); FED. R. APP. P. 24(a)(3). In support of this certification, the Court adopts and incorporates by reference the Magistrate

Judge's Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation. *See Baugh v. Taylor*, 117 F.3d 197, 202 and n.21 (5th Cir. 1997). Based on the Findings and Recommendation, the Court finds that any appeal of this action would present no legal point of arguable merit and would, therefore, be frivolous. *Howard v. King*, 707 F.2d 215, 220 (5th Cir. 1983) (per curiam). Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a) governs the time to appeal an order. A timely notice of appeal must be filed even if the district court certifies an appeal as not taken in good faith. In the event of an appeal, Plaintiff may challenge this certification by filing a separate motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal with the Clerk of the Court, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. *See Baugh*, 117 F.3d at 202; FED. R. APP. P. 24(a)(5).

SO ORDERED.

Signed October 16<sup>th</sup>, 2019.

  
ED KINKEADE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE